IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA GENERAL JURISDICTION DIVISION CASE NO. 94-08273 CA (22)

HOWARD A. ENGLE, M.D., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Miami-Dade County Courthouse Miami, Florida Wednesday, 9:15 a.m. November 3, 1999 TRIAL - VOLUME 384

The above-styled cause came on for trial before the Honorable Robert Paul Kaye, Circuit Judge, pursuant to notice.

> TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N

Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved

APPEARANCES:

STANLEY M. ROSENBLATT, ESQ.

SUSAN ROSENBLATT, ESQ.

On behalf of Plaintiffs

WINSTON & STRAWN

DAN WEBB, ESQ.

BRADLEY LERMAN, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant Philip Morris

DECHERT PRICE & RHOADS

WILLIAM DODDS, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant Philip Morris COLL DAVIDSON SMITH SALTER & BARKETT

NORMAN A. COLL, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant Philip Morris

ZACK KOSNITZKY

STEPHEN N. ZACK, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant Philip Morris

CARLTON FIELDS WARD EMMANUEL SMITH & CUTLER

R. BENJAMINE REID, ESQ.

DOUGLAS J. CHUMBLEY, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant R.J. Reynolds

JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE

JAMES JOHNSON, ESQ.

JAMES YOUNG, ESQ.

DIANE G. PULLEY, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant R.J. Reynolds

KING & SPALDING

GORDON SMITH, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant Brown & Williamson

CLARKE SILVERGLATE WILLIAMS & MONTGOMERY

KELLY ANNE LUTHER, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendants Liggett Group and Brooke Group

38913

APPEARANCES (Continued)

SHOOK HARDY & BACON KENNETH J. REILLY, ESQ.

```
WILLIAM P. GERAGHTY, ESQ.
     On behalf of Defendant Brown & Williamson
     JAMES T. NEWSOM, ESQ.
     On behalf of Defendant Lorillard
     GREENBERG TRAURIG HOFFMAN LIPOFF ROSEN & QUENTEL
     DAVID L. ROSS, ESQ.
     On behalf of Defendant Lorillard
     MARTINEZ & GUTIERREZ
     JOSE MARTINEZ, ESQ.
     On behalf of Defendant Dosal Tobacco Corp.
       and Tobacco Institute
     KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES & FRIEDMAN
     AARON MARKS, ESQ.
     On behalf of Defendants Liggett Group
       and Brooke Group
     ADORNO & ZEDER
     ANTHONY UPSHAW, ESQ.
     On behalf of Defendant Brown & Williamson
     DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON
     JOSEPH P. MOODHE, ESQ.
     On behalf of Defendant Council for Tobacco Research
                                                      38914
 1
 2
                           INDEX
 3
    WITNESS
                                              PAGE
     JULIUS B. RICHMOND, M.D.
     Voir Dire by Mr. Rosenblatt ...... 33952
     Voir Dire by Mr. Webb ...... 33957
     Voir Dire by Mr. Dodds ...... 33961
 6
     Voir Dire by the Court ...... 33964
 7
     Direct by Mr. Rosenblatt ...... 33970
 8
 9
                        EXHIBITS
10
     PLAINTIFFS'
                            OFFERED ADMITTED FOR ID
11
    EXHIBITS
                             PAGE PAGE PAGE
12
     None
13
14
                        EXHIBITS
15
    DEFENDANTS'
                          OFFERED ADMITTED FOR ID
     EXHIBITS
                             PAGE
                                     PAGE
                                            PAGE
16
     None
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
    Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
        (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had:)
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Good morning, all. Have a seat,
 3
    please.
 4
              All right. I guess it's your presentation,
 5
              MR. ROSENBLATT: Well --
```

```
MR. DODDS: Your Honor, I believe this is our
 8
     motion.
 9
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. I mean, I'm trying to
10
      figure out exactly where we are on this. I mean, I
11
      know they made a motion.
12
                THE COURT: Okay.
                MR. DODDS: Your Honor, as Your Honor is
13
14
      aware, we had previously made a motion to preclude the
15
      testimony from Dr. Richmond, and this morning I
16
      received --
17
               THE COURT: Dr. Richmond is in the courtroom?
18
               MR. DODDS: Yes.
19
                THE COURT: If you would, kindly step
20
      outside, sir.
                (Dr. Richmond exited the courtroom.)
21
2.2
                MR. DODDS: And, Your Honor, we had some
23
      argument on this motion last Thursday, and since that
24
      time, I have received a further briefing from
25
      plaintiffs' counsel, and I'd like to address
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
     particularly the issues raised in that briefing
 1
     received this morning, which is entitled: Engle
      Florida Class Supplemental Response to Defendants'
 4
      Joint Motion to Preclude Expert Testimony of
 5
     Dr. Richmond.
 6
                They --
 7
               MR. ROSENBLATT: You have it on your desk.
 8
               THE COURT: Yes, I know. But I don't have my
 9
      glasses. They're somewhere.
10
                (Discussion off the record.)
               MR. DODDS: Your Honor, there are three basic
11
      grounds for our motion, and I won't review all of them
12
13
      as I did last Thursday.
14
                The first one is that we -- well, let me back
15
16
                There are three areas that Dr. Richmond, as I
17
      understand it, would testify to: Medicals, specific
18
      causation with respect to Mrs. Farnan, Mr. Amodeo.
19
                Two: Addiction, with respect to each of
20
      them.
               And three: The extent to which they were
21
2.2
      influenced in any way in their smoking behavior by
23
      conduct of the defendants.
24
               I'll take those up one by one. The first
25
      question is: Can Dr. Richmond testify regarding
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
      medical causation with respect to these two
 1
      individuals? And we start, of course, with the premise
      under the Florida Rule of Evidence 90.702, that the
 4
      expert must be qualified by skill, experience,
      training, or education.
 5
 6
               We also have the definition of an expert
 7
      provided by Florida Civil Procedure Rule 1.390, which
 8
      says that the expert witness has to be possessed of
 9
      special knowledge and skill about the subject matter
10
      upon which called to testify.
11
                So, you have to have experience and training
```

12 and it has to be in the subject matter on which they're 13 going to testify. 14 The case law, Your Honor, is very clear. The 15 principal case, I think, that is germane and that we rely on is the case of United Technologies 16 17 Communications Company versus Industrial Risk Insurers. That appears at 501 So.2d 46. I have a copy for Your 18 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 21 MR. DODDS: And this was a case in which the 22 only issue, really, on appeal was whether or not the 23 trial court erred in admitting testimony of an expert, 24 and on Page 48, which is, I believe, the third page of 25 the case that I gave you on the right-hand side, the TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved Third District Court of Appeals quoted the same rule I cited to you, Rule of Civil Procedure 1.390(a), and 3 went on to say the following: It is not enough, then, that a witness is qualified in some general way. 5 Doctors cannot give expert testimony -- expert opinions 6 in all fields of medicine. But the witness must be 7 possessed of special knowledge about the discrete 8 subject matter upon which he is called to testify. So, where are we? The question now is: Does 9 Dr. Richmond have special knowledge about the 10 discrete subject upon which he is called to testify; 11 12 the general rule being, doctors cannot give expert 13 opinions in all fields of medicine. 14 When you look at -- I went back, Your Honor, 15 since last Thursday, to look at Dr. Richmond's deposition in the Broin case, and he was asked the 16 17 following question, I believe, by Mr. Ross. The 18 question was: All right. Would you consider yourself 19 an expert in any other particular field of medicine, 20 other than pediatrics? 21 Answer: Yes. I have expertise in the field 22 of child development and in maternal and child health, 23 and also in the field of public health. And my title 24 when I came to Harvard was professor of psychiatry and 25 human development, so I'm not board certified in TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved psychiatry, but I do have competence in the field of 2 psychiatry. 3 He went on to question: Other than those 4 that you have listed, any other field of medicine that 5 you would consider yourself to have particular 6 expertise in? 7 Answer: No. I think those would be the 8 areas. 9 In other words, Dr. Richmond testified under 10 oath that his expertise was in pediatrics, child 11 development, maternal and child health care and public 12 health. 13 That's not the issue in this case. The issue 14 in this case is whether or not an individual's lung 15 cancer, and in another case larynx cancer, were caused 16 by their smoking. And I respectfully suggest by

17 Dr. Richmond's own testimony, he lacks the expertise to 18 testify in those areas. 19 Let me move on. We've now dealt with, I 20 think, the question of whether he has the credentials to talk about specific causation. 21 22 And I reviewed this last week. He has not looked at pathology or X-rays. He's not an oncologist, 23 he's not a radiologist, he's not a pulmonologist, he's 24 25 not a thoracic surgeon, he's not an otolaryngologist. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 1 The plaintiffs have listed people in those fields, so people like that are coming. He's just not one of 2 3 them. 4 The only real response to this that 5 plaintiffs have is that he was a Surgeon General. And, 6 of course, he had a distinguished career as Surgeon 7 General. He read, by Mr. Rosenblatt's description, the thickest Surgeon General's Report, but I suggest to 8 Your Honor that that does not convert a pediatrician 9 10 into an expert on laryngeal cancer or lung cancer. 11 The second question is addiction. Now, 12 Dr. Richmond has some background as a child 13 psychologist. The question here is whether or not he 14 has done the work, by his own admission, that would permit him to render an opinion with respect to 15 16 addiction. 17 He testified, Your Honor, that he has never 18 rendered an opinion with respect to addiction without 19 examining the individual. He's not done that. So, I would say, Your Honor, that he simply lacks the 20 foundation, even if he were to have the expertise -- of 21 course, he's never done any research in this area or 2.2 those sorts of things, and his primary focus was in 23 childhood development, not in substance abuse. 24 But that to the side, he simply has not done 25 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved the work that, by his own admission, he would want to 1 2 do and need to do without -- before rendering an 3 opinion with respect to whether these two plaintiffs 4 were addicted. 5 He also testified that there are certain 6 tests that can be used to determine addiction. He 7 doesn't know whether they were even done in this case. 8 And they have been done, both by another physician, who 9 will be testifying on behalf of the plaintiffs, and by 10 our witnesses, and there will be testimony on that. I 11 just don't think, since Dr. Richmond says he would like 12 to have that, and he hasn't seen it and hasn't done it, 13 that it shouldn't come in. 14 And on both of these subject matters, Your 15 Honor, I think that it's important to recognize that the plaintiffs, obviously, will have experts in these 16 17 more specific fields. They've listed an 18 otolaryngologist. They have listed pulmonologists. 19 They have listed people who they think have specific 20 credentials with respect to addiction. 21 Why, then, Dr. Richmond? Well, my sense is

```
that they would like to have Dr. Richmond testify
      because he is an eminent man, he was Surgeon General.
23
      I think the prejudicial impact of that outweighs the
24
25
      limited probative value of his opinions based on his
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
 1
      own conceded lack of expertise in these fields.
               The third question is, and I want to spend a
 2
      little more time on this, is whether or not
 3
      Dr. Richmond should be permitted to testify about the
      kinds of information that smokers, in general, were
 5
 6
      exposed to, and extrapolate that, if you will, to the
 7
      individual.
 8
                The plaintiffs have said in their papers, and
 9
      this is at Page 4, Your Honor, the first paragraph:
10
      Dr. Richmond has testified in his deposition, and will
11
      testify at trial, that members of the public, including
12
      physicians that smoke, were confused and misled by all
13
      of the contrary evidence from the tobacco industry, and
      smokers, such as Frank Amodeo and Mary Farnan, would be
14
      similarly confused and misled.
15
16
                Well, the question in this phase, I
17
     respectfully suggest, is not whether members of the
18
     public were misled. It's not whether they -- these two
      individuals would have been misled. We're here to
19
     decide whether they were misled. That is the issue and
20
      that is not something that Dr. Richmond can testify to.
2.1
22
      How do we know? Let's look at his deposition in the
23
      Amodeo matter.
24
               The question: In coming to court and
25
      testifying about Mr. Amodeo, do you anticipate
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                         38923
 1
      discussing any particular cigarette advertisements as
      affecting Mr. Amodeo?
 2
 3
                Answer: No. I don't plan to.
 4
                Question: Do you know of any specific
 5
      advertisements that, in your opinion, did affect
      Mr. Amodeo's decision either to start smoking or to
 6
 7
      continue smoking?
 8
               Answer: No, I don't know of any specific
 9
      ones, no.
10
               Question: Are there any specific public
11
      statements by the tobacco companies or any member of
12
      the tobacco industry you anticipate discussing as
13
      having had an effect on Mr. Amodeo, in terms of his
14
      decision to start smoking or to continue smoking?
15
                Answer: Their effects on him, not on me?
16
               Question: Their effects on him, that's
17
      right.
18
                Answer: No.
19
                So, we know now that Dr. Richmond can't and
20
      won't testify about the effects on these two
21
      individuals.
22
                Plaintiffs want to have him testify that
23
      members of the public were misled, and really the only
24
      basis they have for saying that is that somehow in
25
      opening statement, counsel for the defendants opened
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
      the door. And they have, I believe, somewhat
      selectively chosen portions of the transcript from
 3
      yesterday. It's obviously fresh in our mind.
                I thought I'd point out the two basic
 4
 5
      statements that the two primary opening counsel
 6
      delivered on this very subject yesterday. The first
 7
      was Mr. Reid.
 8
               Mr. Reid says, and this is at Page 38788 --
 9
      I'm sorry -- 38778 of the transcript. He says as
      follows, carrying over to the next page, quote: "Now,
10
11
      you heard a great deal of testimony in Phase I about
12
      general awareness, about what the American public has
13
      known over time. You remember, Dr. Ford testified to
      that. None of that will be repeated here in the
14
15
      general sense, because this is not about general
16
17
                "What you will hear in this phase of the
18
      trial is evidence specifically related to what
19
      Ms. Farnan was exposed to during the time that she
20
      chose to spoke."
                Now, counsel has referenced the later portion
21
22
      a little ways down in the transcript, where he talked
23
      about information that was available to her. And they
      don't cite what came after that, which is all of the
24
25
      specifics of what was available to her, in fact, and
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
      that is what our witnesses will talk to and, hopefully,
      Mrs. Farnan, if she's consistent with her deposition
      testimony, will also say things that she saw in school,
 3
      things she learned in nursing school. All of this
 4
      Mr. Reid went through, I think, in some detail. So
 5
      when he was saying -- and the warnings, of course.
 6
 7
                So when he was saying that that was the
 8
      information that was available to her, he was talking
 9
      specifically and not generically.
10
                Mr. Webb, in his opening statement, Page
11
      38832, continuing on to the next page: "The second
12
      issue I put on here is awareness and choice. We're
13
      going to talk about that, too, because that's an
14
      individual, specific issue that relates to two
15
      individual specific plaintiffs that are here trying
16
      their cases during the course of this Phase II trial."
17
                So, what counsel promised, and what we intend
18
      to do during this case, is focus on these two
19
      individuals. That's what we're here for. That's what
      we believe Phase II should be about.
20
21
                We are not going to put up a big chart, the
22
      mountain of awareness. That's been done. We're not
23
      going to bring in Dr. Ford to talk about all of the
24
      same things.
                But I suggest to you that that is exactly
25
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                         38926
      what they propose to do with Dr. Richmond. And if they
```

TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N

do that, Your Honor, we will -- I guarantee you, both

sides will be retrying the general awareness case for months, because, frankly, we didn't do as much as we 4 could have in it, because Your Honor wanted to keep the 5 6 question of choice in the second phase. 7 That's not what we'd like to do, though. 8 This case should be about these two individuals. This 9 is a fraud case. Not fraud on the public, fraud on two 10 people. And it's very facile to say, "They were members of the public," and, indeed, they were. That's 11 12 kind of "So what?" I mean, we're all members of the 13 public. We were not all defrauded by the tobacco 14 industry. We have 50 million people who stopped 15 smoking, and somehow they managed to. 16 Now, what they are proposing, Your Honor, is 17 a form of what is known in fraud law as fraud on the 18 market. And that, Your Honor, is a line of reasoning 19 that is applied in federal securities law cases, and we 20 can go into it, but it is something that the United 21 States Supreme Court adopted in the case of Basic 22 versus Levinson, and it applies to federal securities 23 law cases. It has never been applied in Florida to any common law fraud claim. 24 25 And I will provide Your Honor with cases TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved directly on point saying exactly that. And we can 1 spend the time to go through it. Thank you. 3 But I don't think there's any question but that the fraud-on-the-market theory, which is a theory 4 5 that the plaintiffs want to travel under, is not accepted law, good law in the State of Florida. 6 7 Why not? Well, it makes a lot of sense. The 8 fraud-on-the-market theory in federal securities law 9 cases precedes from the belief that there is one thing 10 at least that all people who buy stocks and other securities rely on. That's the price. And the concept 11 12 is, is that the price of a stock always accurately, 13 immediately takes into account all information out 14 there, and that all people rely on that information 15 because it's in there. It's predicated on years of academic research about a theory known as the efficient 16 market thesis. I won't go into it. 17 But the suggestion here, Your Honor, is that 18 19 all they have to show is that there's a taint, like 20 smokers and members of the public were guppies swimming 21 in the water. 22 THE COURT: What has this got to do with whether Dr. Richmond will testify? 23 MR. DODDS: Okay. The reason why they want 24 25 to put on Dr. Richmond on this subject matter is to TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved have him talk about whether smokers, whether the public was affected or misled, and then say that they would 3 have been misled like members of the public. That is a 4 leap, Your Honor, that Florida law will not permit. 5 Consequently, we believe that Dr. Richmond should not 6 testify on that subject matter. Dr. Richmond testified in his deposition he

8 doesn't know what advertisements, what industry 9 statements and conduct had to do with these two plaintiffs' smoking behavior. If he were to talk about 10 11 that, that would be one thing. That's what we're here for. That's what our witnesses will talk about. 12 13 That's what the plaintiffs will talk about. 14 But to say that -- repeat what he said in 15 Phase I, which was that smokers were misled, that is 16 really a step backwards, I propose, Your Honor, and 17 that's not what we're here for. So, to recapitulate, Your Honor, with respect 18 19 to causation, he simply lacks the expertise. Florida 20 law makes it very clear, he is required to have the 21 expertise, and he's admitted by his own testimony that 22 he doesn't. 23 Addiction: He's admitted by his own testimony that he did not perform the very examination 2.4 25 that he has done and would want to do in order to TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38929 render such an opinion, hasn't done, hasn't seen the 1 2. tests that others will provide in this trial on that 3 question. 4 And, third, with respect to whether or not these two individuals were misled -- I mean, it's kind 5 of like being an oath-helper, Your Honor. I mean, 6 7 they'll testify about it themselves. If he could talk 8 more particularly as to what they saw, what they didn't 9 see, how that affected them specifically, not some 10 mythical or construct of what a smoker or a member of 11 the public is, but what they were exposed to and what they did as a result, that would be one thing, and we 12 13 could not object to that. 14 But, you know, that, by his own testimony, 15 Your Honor, is not what he's going to talk about. THE COURT: Thank you. 16 17 MR. ROSENBLATT: Your Honor, Dr. Julius 18 Richmond got his M.D. degree in 1939, 60 years ago. I 19 am just totally nonplused to believe that lawyers could 20 make the argument, after this incredibly distinguished 60-year career, both as a hands-on, treating physician, 21 and as the dean of a medical school, his long 22 23 affiliation with the Harvard Medical School -- we 24 submit it would be error not to permit Dr. Richmond to 25 testify. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved Now, although the faces have changed on that 1 2 side of the table, the tactics remain precisely the 3 same. They first take a shot at excluding a witness, and then they try -- their fallback position is to try 5 to limit the witness. 6 Dr. Richmond is eminently qualified --7 eminently qualified to testify about the subject matter of Mary Farnan's lung cancer and Frank Amodeo's throat 8 9 cancer. 10 He became board certified in pediatrics in 11 1947. During World War II, for four years, he was a 12 flight surgeon where he treated adults. He was at the

13 University of Illinois Medical School from 1947 to 14 15 He became chairman of the department of 16 pediatrics at the State University of New York in Syracuse. He was the dean -- the dean of the State 17 18 University of New York in Syracuse, and in 1971, he began his very long-time association with probably the 19 20 most single prestigious medical school in the world, 21 the Harvard Medical School. 22 And he went there as the director of a 23 psychiatric clinic for children, and I remind the Court 24 that both Mary Farnan and Frank Amodeo started smoking 25 as children. He was the chief of the department of TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved psychiatry at Children's Hospital in Boston. 1 He went to Harvard as -- in addition to his 3 background in pediatrics, he was a full professor of psychiatry and human development at Harvard. In 4 addition, he held the chair of the department of 5 preventive and social medicine. And after his tenure 6 7 as Surgeon General of the United States, he returned to Harvard, and now he's a professor emeritus, but he is 8 9 still -- he is still at Harvard. He's written over 250 articles. His three 10 specialty areas are pediatrics, psychiatry, and public 11 12 health. 13 During Dr. Richmond's tenure as Surgeon 14 General of the United States, there was the very thick 15-year anniversary of the ground-breaking 1964 Surgeon 15 General's Report, and Dr. Richmond has read every word 16 17 in lung cancer, throat cancer, addiction. It's all -- he knows so much more than a 18 narrow oncologist, in terms of the relationship between 19 20 smoking and lung cancer, between smoking and throat cancer, on the subject of addiction, than some hands-on 21 2.2 doctor who's never studied the relationship between 23 smoking. 24 Most doctors in practice simply make the 25 assumption that if someone has a history of smoking and TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38932 they have lung cancer, of course, the smoking caused 1 2 it. But he studied it. 3 Mary Farnan is a woman. The 1980 Surgeon 4 General's Report was the health consequences of smoking 5 for women, where Dr. Richmond made the prediction that 6 as women started to smoke more, their lung cancer rates 7 would increase as it happened with the men, and that's 8 precisely what happened. 9 And, you know, Dr. Richmond has reviewed 10 their charts in detail. He's read their depositions. 11 He's prepared to come in and testify about them. 12 And someone who's practiced medicine for some 13 60 years, it is normal and natural -- you have a new 14 patient. The doctor gets charts from earlier 15 physicians or earlier hospital records; they constantly 16 reach conclusions and opinions based on their review of 17 charts. An examination of Mrs. Farnan or Mr. Amodeo

would be meaningless, because the throat cancer and the lung cancer don't exist at the present time. 19 20 He has an enormous amount of expertise in 21 otolaryngology disorders, the throat, the larynx. The most common complaint of children in pediatrics is the 22 23 throat, a sore throat, a throat infection. He's seen a zillion children with sore throats. He's abundantly 24 familiar with the acute swelling of the epiglottis. 25 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved He's used a laryngoscope. He's diagnosed tonsils which 1 had to be operated on. And it's just -- it's really beyond belief 3 4 that we could be having this argument about the Surgeon 5 General of the United States, who in addition to all of 6 his academic achievements, his administrative role, the 7 dean of a medical school, was a hands-on physician at 8 all times, in all his associations, you know, with 9 these medical schools. And, you know, counsel -- counsel have set 10 11 up, and I want to -- I want to just demolish this right 12 now, because, as usual, they have set up an absolutely 13 absurd artificial barrier, because what they're saying 14 to Your Honor is the most preposterous thing imaginable. What they're saying, with a straight face: 15 Well, we're going to ask Mary Farnan, "In 1972, can you 16 17 specifically remember a Life magazine ad which 18 influenced you to do such and such?" That's not the 19 way it works. And everyone knows that's not the way it 20 works. The truth of -- if people are honest, they 2.1 22 come into certain -- well, was it from the Tobacco Institute? Did I see it in Life magazine? Did I see 2.3 24 it in that magazine? They know they've come into possession of 25 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved certain information. They've heard time and time again 1 from the tobacco industry that most smokers do not develop lung cancer, whether it's 90 percent or less --3 4 they think that -- their requirement is that we have to 5 have Mary Farnan say: Well, I remember in 1978 the 6 Tobacco Institute came out with a statement, and I read 7 it in the Orlando Sentinel or some newspaper in 8 England. 9 No, that's not our burden. No, that's not 10 our burden. 11 And it's obvious, as we pointed out in this 12 memo, Your Honor, that they opened a very -- they did 13 open a very wide door, and we quote from the opening 14 statement of Mr. Webb yesterday: "Now, when he made 15 that decision, Frank Amodeo was not -- was not some young child. He was an adult. He was married. He was 16 raising a family. He made a decision two years after 17 18 the Surgeon General of the United States in 1964 had 19 rendered that landmark opinion that you heard about, 20 which got this massive attention in this country, which 21 connected cigarette smoking to lung cancer in males." 22 So, he's talking about a generalized

```
23
      knowledge that Mr. Amodeo, that Mrs. Farnan should have
      had based on the Surgeon General's Reports, and there's
24
25
      no way to avoid that; there's no way to avoid that
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                         38935
      because they're part of their culture, they're part of
      their environment and what is out there.
 2
               And Mr. Reid says: "The evidence will be
 3
 4
      generally that there was a great deal of information
 5
      about the risks of smoking, which was available to
      Mrs. Farnan over all of the years, from the time she
 6
 7
      began to smoke, and all of the years that she chose to
 8
      continue to smoke."
 9
                What was the information out there? They're
10
      going to talk about health books in the school system.
11
      Is Mary Farnan going to say, "Oh, yeah, when I was in
12
      the fifth grade, I remember a paragraph" -- of course
13
      not. Of course not.
14
                She may remember -- any smoker may remember
      that they had an exposure or they didn't have an
15
      exposure, they had a teacher that talked about it, but
16
17
      no one is going to remember the precise details.
18
                So, of course, we're talking about the
19
      information that was out there, about the risks and the
      information that was out there creating a false
20
      controversy and poo-pooing the Surgeon General's
21
22
      Reports, and that information has to come in in
23
      individual cases, as well, because they're out there
24
      and they're talking about a period of decades.
                And Dr. Richmond is simply, you know, just
25
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
      eminently qualified to testify on these issues. And we
      have in here, in our written submission, Judge, on the
      issue of awareness, that Dr. Richmond should be
 3
 4
      permitted to testify, and he's -- he's not going --
 5
     we're not going to rehash Phase I and go over
 6
      specifics, but certainly the general information that
      was out there during the '50s and '60s and '70s and
 7
 8
      '80s, when these two specific individuals were smoking.
 9
               And we should simply get on with it. And
10
      this is just one of the most qualified witnesses in
11
      America on the subject of the relationship between
12
      cigarette smoking and disease. And on the subject --
      and he was a full professor of psychiatry. They're
13
14
      trying to -- they're coming in, as if he's some
15
      elderly, retired pediatrician.
16
                Well, I'm sorry, gentlemen. He's one of the
17
      most -- he's one of the most prominent medical people
18
      in the country. The President could have selected
19
      anybody. He selected Julius Richmond to become his
20
      Surgeon General because he was abundantly qualified in
21
      all of these areas, and this is a case with two
22
      individuals, but the issues are whether smoking caused
23
      the lung cancer, whether smoking caused the throat
24
      cancer, whether they are addictive, and the issues of
25
      their awareness, of the risks, and were they misled,
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
```

Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved and I can think of no one more qualified to testify on 1 2 these subjects. MR. DODDS: Your Honor, I just have -- Your 3 4 Honor, I'd like to deal with the last point regarding 5 the testimony about the public at large. 6 This is a fraud case, Your Honor. The 7 suggestion that they don't have to prove that a person 8 saw a statement because it was a long time ago, that's 9 10 In our pleadings, we have particularity requirements in pleadings with regard to fraud. Why? 11 12 Because for this very reason, because if someone can 13 just say, "It was out there. I don't remember when. I 14 don't remember what," anybody can say that they are 15 fraud, and we can't deny it. It's another reason why we have the fraud 16 17 statute of repose, and I'm going to hand up to Your 18 Honor the papers that have been filed on this and ask 19 you to hear that issue, as well. My colleague, 20 Mr. Lerman, will address that when Your Honor deems it 21 appropriate. 22 But this is a fraud case. We must know what it is that they were defrauded by. What did they see? 2.3 24 In fact, if they can't remember it, how can we rebut it? How can we say they weren't influenced by it? 25 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38938 1 That's exactly why. 2 For instance, the legislature has determined for many years that fraud must be alleged with 3 particularity and proven with particularity. And the 4 5 suggestion that they can just say they were out there 6 and they're a member of the public and there was all this misleading stuff out there, if that cuts it, Your 7 Honor, then every fraud case will have nothing to do 8 9 with the individuals and be just about fraud on the 10 public, and that's not the law in this state. And I 11 suggest to Your Honor that we will be retrying all of 12 Phase I to get into that. 13 I also want to point out that with respect to 14 the advertising impact on youth and his expertise, 15 Dr. Richmond testified, you'll recall, in Phase I, he talked about youth marketing, he talked about whether 16 17 young people are able to appreciate the risks. He has 18 done that once already. 19 All of the Phase I evidence is there. 20 Mr. Rosenblatt is going to be, you know, able to argue 21 all of that, if it's relevant, in his closing 22 arguments. We don't have to repeat it here, though, 23 Your Honor. 24 With respect to his credentials, by his own 25 admission, he lacks expertise in these fields, and I TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved suggest to Your Honor that there's no Surgeon General 1 2 exception. He's read a lot. It really doesn't make him an expert.

There are 40 or 50 diseases in the Surgeon 5 General's Reports. If he's going to be able to testify 6 about all of them, then the Third DCA's ruling in the 7 case that I handed up to Your Honor makes no sense. When he talks -- for example, Mary Farnan, 8 9 the big issue in this case is whether or not she had BAC. Now, he acknowledges that he has no individual 10 11 opinion on that score. He's just going to recite and repeat what the pathologists have already said in this 12 13 case. That's not an expert opinion, Your Honor. And I 14 think it's demonstrative of the fact that he is not the 15 appropriate person to address these questions. 16 Your Honor, we do not want to repeat Phase I. 17 We want to get specific. And, frankly, if the only way 18 to try this case is to argue about what the public knew 19 or what the public did not know, we're going to be here 20 for a very long time. 21 And to narrow it I think is the right choice. 22 That was the trial plan. That is what the plaintiffs 23 argued for and they've been arguing for. The Third DCA 24 said in class certification, there are common issues to 25 be tried. That has been done. The common issues about TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved what the common people, smokers and the public knew, that has been tried. Tried for months. 3 We're not the ones trying to undermine that verdict. They have rendered their verdict on those 4 5 issues. 6 Now it's particular. The law requires 7 particularity. And if they're unable to meet that burden, at least as to these two plaintiffs, that is 8 9 not an occasion for changing the rules. 10 Thank you. THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DODDS: Your Honor, I'd like to hand up 11 12 13 now -- these are the papers previously filed some weeks 14 ago on the statute of repose issue, and we'd ask that 15 Your Honor --16 THE COURT: Okay. MR. DODDS: -- take that up when Your Honor 17 18 is ready to address it. 19 Thank you. 20 THE COURT: I assume that you've given copies 21 to counsel? 22 MR. DODDS: They've been previously filed. I 23 do have a copy for them. 24 THE COURT: All right. The only question I have at this point -- well, not the only one. One of 25 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 1 them. There's been a list of experts offered on 3 both sides. I haven't seen them, or I don't have them 4 with me. 5 Is there an overlap or duplication of the 6 experts? In other words, how many experts per side did 7 we decide per issue? MR. DODDS: Your Honor, if I could address

```
that. We didn't decide on a particular number. We
10
     have attempted to have particular experts for
11
     particular plaintiffs on particular subject matters.
12
      So, we're not going to offer two psychiatrists on
13
      Mr. Amodeo or two pathologists on Mrs. Farnan's BAC
14
      issue. We're not going to double up.
                I do believe we discussed, Your Honor, the
15
16
      other day, the question of whether there would be
      repetition for the plaintiffs' side. There were
17
      individual disclosures. I know Your Honor felt that
18
19
      there was some of that on both sides.
20
              But as far as we can tell, Your Honor, there
21
     are a number of witnesses who will seemingly address
22
     the same issues, sort of at a general level; namely,
      Dr. Richmond, Dr. Burns, Dr. Petty, Dr. Siegel,
23
24
      Dr. Cummings, Dr. Samet, and Dr. Goodwin. All of them
25
      had the same disclosure. Some of them may have dropped
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
     out -- oh, and Dr. Davis.
               And some of them may have dropped out of one
 3
     or two things. But then they'll also -- Dr. Feingold,
     who has -- is the only expert from the plaintiffs' side
 4
 5
     who has examined -- well, I'm sorry -- did not examine
     Mrs. Della Vecchia, but did examine both Mr. Amodeo and
 6
 7
     Mrs. Farnan.
8
               And they also have a pathologist. They also
9
     have a Dr. Sidransky.
10
               I believe, Your Honor, that we're going to
11
     hear a lot of the same things over and over again.
12
               THE COURT: Well, that's the reason for my
      questions. How much of this is overlap and how much is
13
      going to be --
14
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Counsel has mentioned
15
      several witnesses, some of whom we may call; some of
16
      whom we probably will not call. And, you know,
17
      that's -- I'm going to -- I'm going to see how it goes.
18
19
               THE COURT: Okay. I understand that. But
20
      having read the disclosures, which were general in
21
     nature --
22
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Right.
               THE COURT: -- I mean, it really didn't shed
23
24
      any light on what was going to happen in the trial, as
25
      far as I'm concerned, as far as the Court was
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                         38943
 1
      concerned.
 2
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Obviously, in this
     situation, we're in a little different situation,
 3
 4
     because, for example, if I bring in a surgeon to
 5
     describe the surgery that he performed on Mrs. Farnan
 6
     and he's here anyway, I certainly would want to, even
 7
     though it may be a couple of other --
 8
               THE COURT: I'm talking about general people,
     not hands-on. That would be the general.
9
10
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay.
11
               THE COURT: In other words, Dr. Richmond, in
12
     your opinion, has got three areas -- or at least in
13
     counsel's opinion, the defense, has three areas of
```

```
14
      testimony that he's going to be responsible for, and
      one of which was, you know, the specific causation
15
      issue. The other is addiction, and the other is the
16
17
      effect of the conduct. We can translate that into the
      psychiatric.
18
19
                So, if you're going to have a psychiatrist or
20
      a psychologist come in on another specialty to testify
      about the same thing that Dr. Richmond will be
21
      testifying about, then we would have this overlap when
22
23
      it comes to the psychiatric aspect of it.
24
               So, I don't know what your plans are and what
      you propose to do along those lines. I don't know if
25
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
      anybody is more hands-on than Dr. Richmond in that
 1
 2
      field. Maybe they're both out there in the general. I
 3
      don't know.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Of course, for example, like
 4
 5
      Dr. Burns is scheduled for tomorrow, and Dr. Burns is a
      pulmonologist, so, obviously, he's going to focus in on
 6
 7
      the lung cancer --
 8
                THE COURT: But I don't want --
 9
               MR. ROSENBLATT: -- in more detail, in
10
      infinitely more detail.
               It's really -- it's really difficult to
11
      avoid -- we're going to do our best, believe me, to
12
13
      avoid a lot of repetition and a lot of overlap, but
14
      there will be some.
15
               MR. REID: Judge, maybe I could add one point
16
      to this.
               As counsel mentioned, we have tissue slides
17
      and we have cytology slides, and that -- that's what
18
      the experts look at to find out what this is. And in
19
20
      the case of Dr. Richmond, as you just heard, he's never
21
      looked at any of those, and when he was asked about
      whether he thought it was BAC, he said: Well, the
22
23
      other people looked at it and said it was.
24
                THE COURT: He deferred.
25
                MR. REID: So, he doesn't have any
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
 1
      independent testimony about something like that.
 2
               THE COURT: All right. I understand that.
 3
                Well, it's obvious that you don't have to be
      in the same practice field to be an expert, as long as
 4
 5
      you can qualify yourself in fields of study extensively
 6
      in the field. You also -- it's a little confusing,
 7
      because you're talking in general with Dr. Richmond,
 8
      rather than the very specifics with him.
 9
               MR. ROSENBLATT: He's going to be asked very
10
      specifically: In your opinion, was Mary Farnan's --
11
      did Mary Farnan have, you know, BAC, based on your
12
      review of the records?
               True, he didn't review the -- again, they try
13
14
      to establish a barrier. You have to do cytology. No
15
      one does cytology when you're sued in a lawsuit. And
16
      it's only the tobacco companies. No one does this,
17
      because no one -- no one is interested. They're
18
      interested in the real world. They're interested in
```

```
19
      getting rid of the cancer, and when someone has a
      30-year smoking history, all of the doctors say, of
20
21
      course, smoking caused it.
               THE COURT: But we're not talking about that.
22
23
                MR. DODDS: Your Honor, if I could address.
24
                This is precisely the problem. Dr. Richmond
25
      is going to be asked about whether he thinks it was
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
      BAC. Dr. Richmond didn't even look at the slides.
               THE COURT: Well, that's a matter for you to
 2.
 3
      bring out.
               MR. DODDS: Well, but, you know, there is a
 4
 5
      role for Your Honor as a gatekeeper with respect to
 6
      expert testimony.
 7
               THE COURT: Well, he may say: No, I don't
 8
      have an opinion on BAC.
 9
               MR. DODDS: Well, he --
               THE COURT: He may say that. I don't know.
10
               MR. DODDS: He's's being asked to basically
11
12
      agree with others. He's an oath-helper.
13
               THE COURT: He can't just agree with others.
14
      He can't.
15
               MR. DODDS: His testimony, which I read, Your
      Honor, said that's exactly what he's doing. He's
16
      accepting others' findings on this score.
17
               MR. ROSENBLATT: That's not accurate,
18
19
      because --
               THE COURT: Well --
20
21
               MR. ROSENBLATT: -- because he's read Mary
      Farnan's deposition. I have a right to give him a
22
23
      hypothetical question, and whether from a clinical
24
      standpoint, because part of the opening statement was
25
      that in addition to the slide information, because of
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
      her age, her being a female, the location of the tumor,
      it's all very consistent with BAC, and that certainly
      is a subject he can testify on: In your experience, is
 3
 4
      it consistent with BAC or some other form of lung
 5
      cancer, based on your educational --
 6
               THE COURT: Well, I think he's got the
 7
      expertise, based upon his background and history and
 8
      his study of cancer and the conditions that bring about
 9
      cancers, such as smoking and that. So, along those
      fields, I think he can testify about that.
10
11
                I agree with counsel on the issue of whether
12
      or not just because the general public is exposed to
13
      certain things that might be affected by it, I don't
14
      think he can testify that Mary Farnan was or was not
15
      affected by it. I think that's something that he can't
16
      really testify about, unless he's treated her,
17
      discussed with her and has some hands-on experience
      regarding how she felt about it all. So, I think we'll
18
19
      leave that to somebody else to testify about.
20
               But as far as his knowledge of smoking and
21
      cancer and adenocarcinoma, which he has studied and
22
      which he has an understanding and knowledge of, I think
23
      we'll let him testify about those things.
```

```
24
                He also has a very good knowledge of
25
      addiction and how it may affect people. He's read the
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
      reports of Mary Farnan's medical chart, and might be
      able to render an opinion regarding that.
                But, still, in a general nature, I don't
 3
 4
      think he can be that specific because he hasn't -- I
      don't know if he's treated her or discussed with her.
 5
      It doesn't appear to be.
 6
 7
               We're in a very difficult area, okay, because
 8
      it's so general in nature. And I don't know how
      specific he can be in his opinion with her. He's
 9
10
      already rendered opinions in Phase I, and I don't want
      to go into that again.
11
12
               MR. ROSENBLATT: I agree. I'm going to be
13
      very specific.
14
                THE COURT: Let me take a few minutes to
15
      think it over.
                (A brief recess was taken.)
16
17
                THE COURT: Have a seat.
18
                All right. Do you want to stand instead of
19
      sit?
20
                MR. SMITH: I was standing so I hope I might
21
      get to speak with you, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: I'm sorry?
22
                MR. SMITH: I have something to raise, Your
23
24
      Honor.
25
                THE COURT: You do? I thought it was all
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                          38949
      finished.
 1
 2
                MR. SMITH: This is a different matter, Your
 3
      Honor.
 4
                Last night -- last night in my room, someone
 5
      called me to tell me on PBS a show called Frontline was
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Yes.
                MR. SMITH: And it was an hour on tobacco
 8
      issues involving my client, and I don't know whether
 9
10
      these jurors think it's okay to watch PBS, stay away
11
      from networks or what, but I think we ought to voir
      dire the jury and see if --
12
13
                THE COURT: I do every morning when I ask
14
      them what happened over the night.
15
                MR. SMITH: Okay.
                THE COURT: They had that show on that was
16
17
      basically the show that involved the tobacco industry
18
      and the government. I don't think it was this case,
      but I didn't see it.
19
20
               MR. SMITH: I think that's right, Your Honor,
21
      but it was a very prejudicial and negative show to my
22
      client. It would be inappropriate --
                THE COURT: Well --
23
                MR. SMITH: \operatorname{\mathsf{--}} for the jurors to be watching.
24
25
                THE COURT: There's also a lot of stuff on
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
```

38950

```
the Internet with your clients and the rest of them,
 1
 2.
      which are very beneficial to your client, too, which is
 3
      put out every day and is available on the Internet. So
 4
      one hand --
 5
               MR. SMITH: As Your Honor pointed out
 6
      earlier, the jurors would have to make an affirmative
 7
      act to get on the Internet.
 8
                THE COURT: They also have an affirmative act
 9
      to turn it off.
10
                We go through this. You haven't been here
11
      before. We usually go through this every time the jury
12
      comes out.
13
               MR. SMITH: I would simply request that the
14
      Court ask if anyone saw that show in particular.
15
                THE COURT: All right.
               MR. SMITH: Thank you.
16
17
               MR. REID: Judge, could I just ask a
18
      question? Could we, to save time, have a standing
19
      objection on the opinions about addiction and
20
      causation, so we don't have to jump up every time he
21
      says them, based on your ruling with Dr. Richmond?
22
                THE COURT: Yes. Well --
23
               MR. REID: Thank you.
24
               THE COURT: I want to limit Dr. Richmond's
25
      testimony. I'm not really sure how it's going to work
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
      out. I think we ought to voir dire him a little bit
 1
 2.
      before we start.
 3
               I'm limiting him to any opinions he has which
      would relate to the specific causation area,
      Ms. Farnan, his knowledge of adenocarcinoma, cancer,
 5
      smoking, things of that nature, the psychiatric effect
 6
 7
      on children, which would be his field of endeavor, but
 8
      I don't think he can testify that she was, in fact --
 9
      she, personally, was, in fact, affected, because I
10
      think that's a personal thing. I don't think he can
11
      opine on that.
12
                So, I'm going to limit him in some degree.
13
      But I need to find out something from him on voir dire
14
      as to what it was that he actually was exposed to by
15
      way of records and things of that nature. I don't
16
      know.
17
                So, let's bring him in and talk to him about
18
      that.
19
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Do you want to question him
20
      or do you want me to question him?
                THE COURT: No. You go ahead. I want to
21
22
      find out what he's going to testify about generally.
23
               MR. ROSENBLATT: I told you. I told you what
24
      he's --
25
                THE COURT: I don't want to go through a
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                         38952
 1
     whole hour.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: No. I told you, and I --
 2
 3
               THE COURT: I just have to limit it. That's
 4
      all.
```

```
5
               MR. ROSENBLATT: You know, respectfully, you
 6
      don't have to, Your Honor.
 7
               (Dr. Richmond entered the courtroom.)
               THE COURT: Good morning. How are you, sir?
 8
 9
                We have a process here of what we call "voir
10
      dire" outside the presence of the jury. We want to
      find out a little bit about some of the aspects of your
11
12
      testimony and what it is that you're going to actually
13
      be testifying about.
14
               I don't want to get into, you know, real
15
      detail. We don't have time to do it twice. But
16
      there's some general things that we did want to find
17
      out about, how your testimony may relate to the issues
18
      in this trial.
              So, I'll let counsel start with some
19
20
      questions.
21
      Thereupon:
22
                       JULIUS B. RICHMOND, M.D.
23
      having been called as a witness, was duly sworn,
24
      examined, and testified as follows:
25
                       VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                         38953
     BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
           Q. Dr. Richmond, I think the Court is
     particularly interested in knowing what -- what
 3
     materials have you reviewed on each of these
 4
 5
      individuals, Mary Farnan and Frank Amodeo. Have you
 6
     reviewed the hospital records -- I'll first talk about
 7
     Mrs. Farnan -- the hospital records of Mrs. Farnan
     covering her -- the diagnosis of lung cancer, first in
 8
 9
     the left lung, then in the right lung, and then the
10
      brain surgery, have you reviewed those records?
               Yes. I have reviewed those records.
11
               Now, I think we have a copy of the records.
12
13
                This is -- I'm going to hand you the records,
14
     which you discussed when your deposition was taken
15
     relating to Mary Farnan, and I would -- I would like
16
     you to simply -- not every single page, but to go
17
     through the records and tell us in a general way what
     they contain, such as operative reports, pathology
18
19
      information, that kind of thing.
20
           A.
               Yes. These are the medical records reviewing
21
     both her inpatient courses during the surgical
22
      procedures she had on three occasions: I believe one
23
      of the left upper lung, another of the right upper
24
      lung, and then brain surgery somewhat later.
25
                And the outpatient records are also
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                         38954
      contained --
          Q. So, not only the inpatient records, but the
 2
 3
     outpatient records?
 4
          A. Yes. Yes.
 5
              And do those records give you background, for
 6
      example, as to Mary Farnan's smoking history and the
 7
      chronological course that her cancer has taken?
 8
           A. Yes. These records, in the traditional kind
      of medical history, taking her -- the history of her
```

10 cigarette smoking is reasonably well-described. Q. And have you -- in addition to reviewing Mary 11 Farnan's medical records, both inpatient and 12 13 outpatient, have you also read Mary Farnan's 14 deposition? 15 Α. Yes, I have. And in that deposition did she discuss her 16 17 smoking history, the age she began to smoke, the various brands she smoked, her quitting attempts, that 18 19 kind of thing? 20 A. Yes. She -- she did. 21 Q. And based on your review of Mary Farnan's 22 medical records, hospital records and deposition 23 testimony, do you feel that, based on your experience, background, training, service as Surgeon General of the 24 25 United States, that you are in a position to render TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved opinions as to whether or not -- as to what was the cause of Mary Farnan's lung cancer and whether -- and 3 on the subject of whether or not she was addicted to 4 cigarette smoking, her specifically? 5 A. Yes. I believe I am prepared and qualified 6 to make judgments about her history and medical conditions, and I particularly have been involved in 7 studies of adolescent development and preadolescent 8 development and habit formation and risk-taking 9 10 behavior, so I think I'm rather familiar with the onset 11 of her smoking; that is, the history of the onset of 12 her smoking at a relatively young age of 11. 13 Q. And with respect to Frank Amodeo, let me --14 let me hand you the medical records which you had with 15 you during your deposition relating to Mr. Amodeo, and, 16 again, ask you, in a general way, tell us what these 17 contain. These records contain the medical history of 18 19 the course of his illness, description of the biopsy 20 reports from the tissues, which had been removed from 21 his throat, and the subsequent course of treatment. 22 Q. Did those records reflect and explain to you, although they might not explain to a layperson, did 23 24 those records give you a satisfactory explanation as to 25 why Mr. Amodeo is unable to eat and drink in a normal TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N

Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38956

fashion?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. Yes. I think medically and surgically, yes, I can understand the background for his condition from these records.
- Q. And in those records, is there a clear description as to the type of throat cancer Mr. Amodeo had and the impact that that had upon his glottis and his epiglottis and other structures inside his throat?
- A. Yes, it does.
- 10 Q. Do those records also describe the intensive 11 radiation therapy that he had during the Summer of 12 1987, which was being utilized in an attempt to shrink 13 the throat tumor?
- 14 A. Yes. Dr. Allen Forbes, who is the radiation

therapist, in these records provides considerable 16 detail on what he did. 17 Q. And as with Mrs. Farnan, have you had 18 occasion to read the deposition testimony of Frank 19 Amodeo? 20 A. Yes, I have. Q. And in that deposition, did he -- are you 21 familiar with his background, his age, his smoking 22 history, how old he was when he began to smoke, his 23 attempts to quit and the history leading up to the 24 25 symptoms of his throat difficulty? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And based upon your review of those medical records of Frank Amodeo and the reading of his 3 deposition, do you feel qualified, based on your background, experience, training and your various 5 6 positions, public and private positions that you've 7 held in the medical field, that you are able to render 8 opinions as to the cause of Frank Amodeo's throat 9 cancer and on the subject of whether or not he was 10 addicted to smoking? 11 A. Yes, I believe I can. 12 MR. ROSENBLATT: Judge, unless there's --13 THE COURT: Counsel. MR. WEBB: Your Honor, just very briefly. 14 15 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. WEBB: 17 Q. Doctor, my name is Dan Webb. I represent 18 Philip Morris. 19 Do I understand correctly that in connection 20 with the opinions that -- regarding causation, cancer causation, with Mrs. Farnan and Mr. Amodeo, that those 21 22 opinions you've reached regarding causation, that 23 you've not done any medical examination of Mrs. Farnan 24 or Mr. Amodeo? 25 Α. No, I have not. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved Q. 1 Have you ever talked to them, taken a medical 2 history? 3 A. Not to take a medical history, no. 4 Q. Have you actually talked to them about their condition or their health? 5 6 A. Other than in just meeting them, asking them 7 how they are and coming into the courtroom. Q. Just so I understand what you're saying, have 8 9 you met with them before today to talk to them about 10 their medical history? 11 A. No. 12 Q. So, you have never had a meeting with 13 Mr. Amodeo or Mrs. Farnan to ask either one of them about their medical history; am I correct? 14 15 A. Well, I met them in Phase I, but I didn't ask 16 them about their medical history. 17 Q. And that's my question --18 A. I met them in the courtroom. 19 Q. I understand. You may have met them to shake

```
20
     hands or to say hello; is that correct?
         A. That's correct. That's correct.
21
22
          Q. You've never had a meeting with them to take
     their medical histories?
23
24
          A.
              No, I have not.
25
               Now, Doctor, in your -- as a practitioner,
          Q.
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
     have you ever diagnosed the specific causation of one
     of your patients' diseases without meeting with the
 3
     patient?
 4
               I'm not quite certain of what your question
     implies. I've been a consultant for decades, and as a
 5
 6
     consultant, I have engaged in the diagnostic process
7
     with physicians with whom I've consulted.
8
          Q. My question was, in connection with the
9
     patients that you treated, as a practitioner, did you
10
     ever reach an opinion about what caused their disease,
11
     without first meeting with the patient, doing a medical
12
      exam, and/or taking a medical history?
13
          A. Well, you'll have to differentiate. My
14
     consultation on a patient, which did not necessarily
15
     include examining the patient or personally taking a
16
     history, but consulting with the personal physician,
     I've often made diagnoses on the basis of consultation
17
18
     with the caring physician.
              My question is -- I'm not talking about your
19
20
     consultation with another physician. I'm talking in
21
      connection with the patients that you treat.
22
               In connection with the patients that you
23
     treat as a practitioner, when you reach an opinion
    about causation, have you ever done so without having a
24
25
    meeting with the patient to do a medical exam or take a
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
 1
     medical history?
          A. Well, I can't quite comprehend your question,
     because if I were the treating physician directly, I
 3
     would be meeting with the patient and taking a history.
 4
          Q. Okay. So when you make -- all I'm -- when
 5
 6
     you do -- when you make a diagnosis for patients you
7
     treat, you meet with them and take a medical history;
8
     is that correct?
9
          A. That's correct. I'm the personal physician.
          Q. And there's other times when you've been a
10
11
      consulting physician to other physicians; is that
12
      correct?
13
          A. Many times, yes.
14
          Q. And in those situations, you reach a
15
     diagnosis -- strike that -- you've reached opinions
16
      about causation of disease, without actually meeting
17
     with the patient or taking a history; is that what
18
     you're telling me?
19
          A. That's correct.
          Q. Okay. When is the last time you did that,
20
21
     sir?
22
          A. As recently as about a month ago.
23
          Q. And how frequently do you do that?
24
          A. Well, in consultation with resident
```

```
25
     physicians, I do that at least once a week.
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
          Q. Now, in connection with the work you've done
     here, who selected the medical records that you looked
 3
              I received the medical record from the
 4
          Α.
 5
      attorneys for the plaintiffs.
          Q. And did you do any independent investigation,
 6
 7
      beyond the records you received from the plaintiffs, to
8
      look into the issue of causation?
9
               Other than from the records, I did not.
               MR. WEBB: Thank you.
10
11
               Let Mr. Dodds --
12
               MR. DODDS: Would it be all right, Your
      Honor, if I have a couple of follow-up questions?
13
14
               THE COURT: A couple.
                      VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
15
16
      BY MR. DODDS:
17
          Q. Good morning, Dr. Richmond.
          A. Good morning.
18
          Q. I'm William Dodds.
19
20
               Sticking with the records for a moment,
21
     Doctor, I take it you understand you did not receive
     all of the medical records for either Mrs. Farnan or
22
     Mr. Amodeo, correct?
2.3
24
               I don't know whether they were all of the
          Α.
25
     records.
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
          Q. Did you --
1
               I examined what I was sent.
          Α.
              Did you ask for more records?
          Ο.
 4
          A. No, I did not.
 5
          Q. Have you seen any X-rays, Doctor?
 6
          A. No, I have not.
 7
          Q. Any MRIs or CT scans?
8
          A. No, I have not.
9
              You have not reviewed the pathology or the
          Q.
     cytology, correct?
10
          A. From the record, I have.
11
12
           Q. Okay. In terms of actually looking at it to
13
     render a diagnosis as to the pathologic characteristics
14
      of the tumors in question, you've not done that,
15
     correct?
16
          A. No, I've not.
          Q. And you also have not carried out any other
17
18
     testing yourself with respect to --
19
          A. No, I have not.
20
               And, finally, Doctor, have you reviewed any
21
      of the opinions or depositions taken in connection with
22
      a medical malpractice action commenced by Mr. Amodeo?
23
          A. No, I have not.
24
               And were you aware that he gave a deposition
25
      in that matter long before any issues about the case
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                        38963
```

against the tobacco companies arose? 2 A. Well, in his deposition of -- for this trial, 3 I became aware of the fact that there was such legal 4 action, but other than what is in the deposition, I have no knowledge of that action. 5 6 And you haven't read his deposition from that 7 case? 8 From that case? Α. 9 Q. Correct. 10 A. No. 11 Q. And in terms of, for example, his condition, 12 if you had a patient who presented with a potential 13 cancer of the larynx or the hypopharynx, you would 14 refer that patient to an otolaryngologist for diagnosis 15 and treatment, would you not, sir? 16 Well, I think a physician could make a 17 reasonable diagnosis by physical examination, 18 appropriate physical examination, but the more detailed 19 diagnosis would depend on the microscopic examinations. 20 Q. And if you had a patient with a possibility 21 of such a cancer, you would get a specialist, for example, an otolaryngologist, involved in the care, 22 23 treatment and diagnosis of that patient, would you not, 24 sir? 25 Yes, I would. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38964 1 MR. DODDS: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Let me ask you a couple of 3 questions. 4 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 5 BY THE COURT: The specific interest in this case is based 6 Q. 7 upon smoking, as you know. 8 A. That's correct. 9 And cancer, as it may or may not be related Q. 10 to smoking. 11 What expertise do you have in those fields so 12 that you feel competent enough to render an opinion 13 about somebody's condition? A. Well, I, as Assistant Secretary for Health 14 15 and Surgeon General in the United States, had the responsibility for reviewing all of the medical data 16 17 concerning tobacco use and its health consequences and 18 rendering annual reports for the nation, for people in 19 the nation, on those issues. And I did render a 15th annual report, that 20 21 is, the 15th report subsequent to the first Surgeon 22 General's Report, by Surgeon General Dr. Luther Terry 23 in 1964, in which we summarized all of the literature 24 in the world up to that point, some 30,000 25 publications, approximately. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved And on the basis of reviewing all of those 1 2 data, helped the Secretary for Health, Education and 3 Welfare at that time establish health policy for the nation in relationship to smoking as health 5 consequences.

```
Q. Did that include addiction?
 6
          A. Including addiction, yes.
 7
8
          Q. Do you feel that you have special --
9
          A. And in the preface to that report, I make the
      statement that nicotine is a powerful, addicting drug.
10
11
          Q. Do you feel you have special expertise in
      this field because of the nature of your studies, your
12
13
      exposure to other studies and literature in the field
      of cancer, addiction, pulmonary medicine, things of
14
15
      that nature, which generally is not the field that
      you're in? You're in general practice, or the field
16
17
      that you were in was general practice.
18
          A. Well, I was professor of general pediatrics,
19
      which includes adolescents, for most of my career, so I
20
     have had an opportunity to be concerned about issues of
21
     smoking and health; and then, of course, I spent four
22
     years as a flight surgeon in World War II, in which I
23
     was deeply immersed in cardiovascular and pulmonary
24
     physiology as it related to flight and to air crews. I
25
     feel I've had a very rather extensive experience.
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                        38966
               THE COURT: All right.
1
               THE WITNESS: The clinical conditions are
      associated with smoking and health.
 3
               THE COURT: All right, sir. Thank you very
 4
 5
     much.
 6
                If you would just step out for a moment.
 7
                (The witness exited the courtroom.)
8
               THE COURT: All right. The Court is
      satisfied as to his qualifications to testify as an
9
      expert in these fields, along with the decisions
10
     rendered in other cases such as Wright v. Schulte and
11
12
      Alford v. G. Pierce Woods, Heath versus Roman, Ramirez
13
      and Quinn, that line of cases. The Court will allow
14
     him to testify.
15
                I don't want to, again, go over Phase I. I
16
     don't want him to opine on any fraud issues, because I
17
     don't think he's qualified on the issue of fraud, but
18
     he may testify as to what effect -- because of his
19
     psychiatric background, the conditions in the general
20
     public area may have affected a child of 11, and in
21
     that area in general terms.
22
               So, I'll leave it up to you to develop that
23
     kind of testimony, if need be.
24
               All right. We'll take a few minutes and get
25
      the jury out here.
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                        38967
1
                (A brief recess was taken.)
               THE BAILIFF: Bringing in the jury.
 3
               (The jurors entered the courtroom.)
 4
               THE COURT: Good morning, folks.
               JURY PANEL: Good morning.
 5
               THE COURT: Things haven't changed in the
 6
 7
     whole year we've been together. I never get you going
 8
      on time.
 9
               And you know by now, when I say 10:00, it's
10
     never going to be 10:00. We can't help it. There's
```

only so many hours in the day and so many things we 11 12 have to do; and unfortunately, what we do is we try to 13 pick the time, either in the early morning hours or 14 maybe late-in-the-day hour, to get done what we need to 15 get done. But sometimes it takes longer than we 16 thought. Of course, you folks are sitting in there, 17 18 waiting around, doing nothing. And I hope you 19 understand that we feel very sorry for you folks in 20 there, locked in that little room, but there's not much we can do about it. So, you'll have to put up with it 21 22 like you did before. We do appreciate it. 23 Over the night, has anybody seen anything on 24 television, read anything in the newspapers, or been 25 exposed in any manner, shape or form by conversation TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved other otherwise, with other folks, anything relating to this case or any of the issues that are involved in the 3 tobacco industry? 4 JURY PANEL: (Responds negatively.) 5 THE COURT: Specifically, nobody watched a 6 television show last night that had anything to do with 7 tobacco? JURY PANEL: (Responds negatively.) 8 9 THE COURT: Saw anything in the paper this 10 morning, magazines, or yesterday or whatever it might 11 be? Nothing at all. 12 THE JURY PANEL: (Responds negatively.) 13 THE COURT: All negative answers. All 14 negative responses. 15 All right. Is everybody ready to proceed? I don't have to go through all of the 16 17 information that we went through in the beginning of 18 this trial about how trials are conducted and what your 19 duties and responsibilities are. I think you're quite familiar with all of that. 20 21 So, actually, we're just continuing on with 22 the same trial that we had before. We had two 23 different phases. We went through Phase I. We're now 24 in Phase II. And in Phase II, the same procedure will be 25 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved followed, basically with plaintiff putting on witnesses, the defense cross examining, and then we'll get into a situation, eventually, when the plaintiff 4 will do cross examination of defense witnesses. And 5 we'll go through that process. At the end, we'll ask 6 you to make some decisions. 7 Of course, pay attention to everything that's 8 being said by all sides, especially the testimony of 9 the witnesses, which is very important. And we will be 10 taking the usual breaks. 11 We will also, of necessity, be somewhat 12 delayed sometimes because of things we have to take 13 care of outside of your earshot, even during the course 14 of the trial, as you've experienced before. I just 15 want to bring you up to date on that.

```
16
               All right. If you're ready, counsel.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Gentlemen, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Call your first witness, please.
18
19
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Dr. Julius Richmond.
               THE COURT: Sir, if you'll stand and be
20
21
      sworn.
22
     Thereupon:
23
                     JULIUS B. RICHMOND, M.D.
24
      having been called as a witness, was duly sworn,
25
      examined, and testified as follows:
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                        38970
 1
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
 2
     BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
 3
          Q. Dr. Richmond, for the record, please tell the
     members of the jury your full name and your present
 4
 5
     professional address.
6
          A. I'm Dr. Julius B. Richmond, and my present
 7
     address is the department of social medicine at the
     Harvard Medical School at 641 Huntington Avenue,
8
9
     Boston.
10
              Now, you and the jurors may look kind of
          Q.
11
     familiar to each other, because you testified a little
12
      over a year ago to the same members of the jury panel
13
     on October 21, 1998.
14
               And I'm going to go through your
15
      qualifications, but not in the kind of exhaustive
16
     detail that I did, you know, a year ago.
17
               You received your M.D. degree, your medical
18
     doctor degree, in what year?
19
          A. 1939.
20
          Q. 60 years ago?
21
          A. That's correct.
22
          Q. And from what institution, from what medical
23
     school?
          A. From the University of Illinois in Chicago.
24
25
          Q. You're from Chicago originally?
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
 1
               That's correct.
 2
          Ο.
               In what year did you become board-certified
 3
     in pediatrics?
 4
          A. In 1946.
5
          Q. And the field -- the field of pediatrics goes
     from what age to what age?
 6
 7
          A. Well, we consider it from prenatal period,
8
      from the fetus, all of the way through adolescence.
9
          Q. Now, during World War II, did you serve as a
10
      flight surgeon?
11
          A. I did, for four years.
12
          Q. And --
13
          A. In the Air Force.
          Q. Okay. During that period of time, even
14
15
      though you were a pediatrician, during the period of
      time during World War II, those four years, where you
16
17
      served as a flight surgeon in the Air Force, were your
18
     patients adults?
19
          A. Yes. My patients were essentially either in
20
     training to be air crew members or were already
```

certified as air crew members. So, I had a lot to do 22 with selection, as well -- and qualification, as well 23 as the care of medical problems that air crews 24 developed. 25 So, tell us in a general way, during that Ο. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38972 four-year period, what kind of practice you had with 1 the -- with the airmen and the fliers in the Air Force. 3 Well, my practice consisted of screening, 4 so -- for flight qualifications, so if --5 Q. To see if they were qualified to fly? A. A lot depended on their sensory abilities, 6 7 pulmonary function, cardiovascular function, and 8 general muscular capacity, but we spent a great deal of 9 time in developing guidelines for high altitude flight, 10 which, of course, preceded our flights in space at the 11 current era. 12 Q. And then when World War II ended and you came 13 back to civilian life, where did you go? What did you 14 do in terms of your medical practice? 15 A. Well, I moved up through the ranks at my alma mater, which was the University of Illinois College of 16 17 Medicine in Chicago, became a full professor there. And then in 1953, moved to the State 18 19 University of New York to be chairman of the department 20 of pediatrics there, and ultimately I became dean of 21 the medical school as well. And then in 1971, I moved 22 to the Harvard Medical School. 23 Q. Okay. Let me ask you a couple of questions 24 about your tenure at the State University of New York. 25 You were chairman of the department of TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved pediatrics during what time frame? 1 A. From 1953 to 1971, with the exception of an interval 1965 and '66, when I went to Washington to serve as the first director of the Head Start program in the Office of Economic Opportunity, and during that 5 6 time I also developed the program which now is known as 7 the National Community Health Centers program. So that 8 was a program for all ages, so I was deeply involved in 9 developing the national plan for general medical care 10 for the poor during that time. 11 Q. The Head Start program was a program run by 12 the federal government? A. Yes. Still is. 13 14 Q. And it's still in existence? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And tell us about what it was at the 17 beginning when you were involved with it. 18 A. Well, the Head Start program started as part 19 of the War on Poverty, which was initiated in 1964. 20 And early in 1965, because of my work concerning the 21 development of young children, I was asked to come to 22 Washington to see if one could develop a comprehensive 23 child development program for children who come from 24 low income backgrounds. 25 And so in the first summer of that program,

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N

Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38974

- in 1965, we succeeded in enrolling 500,000 children in
- 2 2,700 centers across the United States in that program.
- And that program, in response to your question, is what
- 4 we called and still call a comprehensive child
- 5 development program. It's called comprehensive because
- 6 it combines not only -- or includes not only early
- 7 childhood education, but medical care, nutritional
- 8 program, oral health, social services, parent
- 9 involvement, and, in particular, local community
- 10 governments. And that has prevailed over the 35 years 11 in existence.
 - Q. And as you say, it's still functioning today?
- 13 A. It now serves about 800,000 children a year.
 - Q. From all over the country?
 - A. And we -- from all over -- well, in approximately 1,500 centers scattered around the $\,$
- 17 country, yes. But it's federally funded. 18 Q. Now, Dr. Richmond, when you were -- when you
- were the dean of the medical school at the State
 University of New York -- when was that, from about '65
- 21 to about 1970?

12

14

15

16

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. During the --
- 24 A. With two years out to be in Washington.
- Q. With the two years -- with the two years out TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N

Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38975

for the Heat Start program.

During those three years, then, were your duties purely administrative, or did you also have a hands-on role in terms of seeing patients and practicing?

- A. No. I still had the title of chairman of the department of pediatrics and professor of pediatrics. So, I was very active clinically during that time.
- Q. So, you were at the State University of New York for about 17 or 18 years?
 - A. 18 years.
 - Q. 18 years.

And then you went from there to the Harvard Medical School in Boston, and that was about 1971?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, when you went to Harvard, the Harvard Medical School, what was your -- what was your title? What were your duties?
- 19 A. Well, I went there as professor of child 20 psychiatry and human development, and my clinical
- 21 responsibilities were to be the chief of the department
- of psychiatry at the Boston Children's Hospital, which
- is affiliated with the Harvard Medical School, and to
- simultaneously be the director of the Judge Baker's
- 25 Children Center, which is a child guidance clinic.

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N

Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved

1 For approximately four or five months after I

arrived, the dean of the Harvard Medical School asked 3 me to assume the responsibilities for the department of preventive and social medicine, so I simultaneously 4 5 served as the professor of preventive and social medicine in the medical school, and that carried with 6 7 it also an appointment as professor of preventive and social medicine in the Harvard School of Public Health. 8 9 Q. And then while you were -- at some point when 10 you were at Harvard, you received the presidential 11 appointment to become Surgeon General of the United 12 States? 13 Yes. In 1977, I was asked to come to 14 Washington to assume two responsibilities, both 15 presidential appointments. One is Assistant Secretary 16 for Health, in what was then the Department of Health, 17 Education and Welfare, and to be Surgeon General of the 18 United States Public Health Service, which was also in 19 the Department of Health and Human -- or Health, 20 Education and Welfare. 21 Q. Now, during your -- obviously I'm not going 22 to go into any kind of detail with the Surgeon General's Reports. I simply want to establish now, 23 24 during your tenure as Surgeon General of the United 25 States, in what year was the very first report TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved published under your tenure? A. I had the responsibility for publishing what 3 we call the 15th anniversary report of the first Surgeon General's Report on smoking and health. That 4 first report was rendered in 1964, so this was 1979, 5 and this was a comprehensive review of the state of our 6 7 knowledge on smoking and health at that time. Q. In order to put yourself in a position to 8 9 pass judgment on what the other writers contributed to the 1979 Surgeon General's Report, did you have to 10 11 familiarize yourself with the body of literature 12 relating to smoking and health during that time frame 13 between '64 and '79? 14 Yes. I was deeply immersed in the compilation of that report and did pass on everything 15 16 that's in it prior to its release for publication. 17 Q. Now, the broad general title of the '79 18 Surgeon General's Report is: Smoking and Health, a 19 report of the Surgeon General, the Health Consequences 20 of Smoking, the Behavioral Aspects of Smoking, 21 Education and Prevention. 22 In this -- without going into, you know, any 23 detail, I'm simply asking you now in a general way, did 24 this 1979 Surgeon General's Report deal with the 25 subjects of lung cancer, throat cancer and addiction? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38978 1 Yes, that -- that report did. 2 MR. ROSENBLATT: Your Honor, this is already 3 in evidence, so --4 THE COURT: All right. 5 MR. ROSENBLATT: I'll just -- should I give it to you?

```
7
                No.
 8
                THE COURT: Do we have a number on it so we
9
      know what it is?
10
               THE CLERK: 1530.
               THE COURT: For the record, 1530?
11
12
                THE CLERK: Yes, sir.
13
      BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
14
          Q. Now, the following year, the Surgeon
15
      General's Report was not nearly as thick, but it still
16
      had about 350 pages, and the title of the 1980 Surgeon
17
      General's Report is: The Health Consequences of
18
      Smoking for Women.
19
               And could you just tell us -- obviously the
20
      focus is women, but could you tell us in a general way
21
      the subject matters covered in the 1980 Surgeon
22
      General's Report?
23
          A. Yes. In that report, because I was very much
24
      concerned with the efforts to get more women to smoke
25
      and the increasing incidence of lung cancer, in
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
     particular among women, as a consequence of the
 1
      increasing numbers of them that were smoking, I felt it
     was very important to take note of that and to caution
 4
     women that if they smoked like men, they would die like
 5
     men as a consequence of smoking.
 6
               And, unfortunately, the prediction that we
 7
     made at that time, that lung cancer would exceed breast
 8
     cancer as a cause of death among women sometime in the
 9
      late '80s, unfortunately that prediction --
10
               MR. REID: Your Honor -- excuse me.
               THE WITNESS: -- has come to be true.
11
12
               MR. REID: I object. This is repetitive
13
      from Phase I and it's outside the qualifications.
               THE COURT: Well, there's a certain amount of
14
      it, yes. And I didn't want to get into it.
15
                I'm going to have to ask the jurors -- now,
16
17
      it's a long time ago, but you already have your
18
      notes -- to try to remember as much as you can about
19
      the testimony that Dr. Richmond gave in the general
      concepts of Phase I.
20
21
               I don't want to go into it again, but just as
22
      sort of a reminder, so we know where we are, get up to
23
      date, I don't mind that, but to get into any great
24
      depth of it, I think you've already heard that
25
      testimony.
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                         38980
      BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
 1
           Q. Now, Dr. Richmond, in looking at your --
      okay. And then there was the 1981 Surgeon General's
 4
     Report, so that during your tenure as Surgeon General
 5
     of the United States, there were a total of three
 6
     reports?
           A. That's correct.
 7
 8
               '79, '80 and '81. The title of the '81
           Q.
 9
      report was: The Health Consequences of Smoking, The
10
      Changing Cigarette, correct?
11
          A. That's correct.
```

12 Okay. As Surgeon General, have you read --13 did you at that time read and have to approve basically 14 every word that went into the Surgeon General's Report? 15 A. Yes, I did. Q. As a hands-on pediatrician, over the years, 16 17 did you have occasion to have a lot of experience in 18 the field of otolaryngology, the throat? 19 A. Yes. Physician -- pediatricians do have a 20 lot of experience in looking at, particularly ears, and 21 because of the prevalence of conditions like croup, 22 very concerned about the appearance of the larynx and 23 swelling of tissues in that area. 24 In terms of -- well, just explain to the jury 25 conceptually when -- when someone is a professor, TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved whether it's at Harvard or the University of Illinois Medical School, you're a professor of medicine, 3 obviously you're involved with teaching, to a certain extent, medical students and residents, but how does a 5 professor of pediatrics, or any field, in an academic 6 medicine setting still maintain a hands-on medical 7 practice where you actually see patients? So, explain 8 how there are those dual roles. Well, in clinical fields, teaching always 9 centers around patients. So, either in an outpatient 10 setting or with hospitalized patients, one has medical 11 12 students and residents that one is seeing patients 13 with; and one of the time-honored teaching techniques 14 is to teach by example, so that clinical professors 15 need to retain their clinical competence so that they can demonstrate to interns and medical students and 16 17 residence how to carry on competent, clinical 18 activities. 19 Now, in the course of your medical career, Ο. your curriculum vitae reflects you've written over 250 20 21 articles, which have been published, most of them in 22 peer-reviewed journals? 23 That's correct. Α. 24 Q. You've written some books? 25 That's correct. Α. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved Explain to the jury, to the members of the jury, the difference when you function as a primary 3 treating physician, with respect to a patient, and when 4 you are functioning as a consultant for a patient. And 5 I assume you have operated in both those roles 6 throughout your career? 7 A. Yes. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. So, please explain to the jury the 9 difference. A. Well, when one is the physician for a 10 11 patient, one assumes the direct responsibility for all 12 of the diagnostic processes and procedures, and for 13 ordering appropriate consultations, and then for 14 carrying on the treatment and seeing to it that that 15 treatment is properly carried out. 16 When one serves as a consultant, one serves

```
17
     essentially as an advisor to the caring physician, who
18
     is the one who has the responsibilities I've just
19
     described for direct care, and offering the benefit of
20
     one's education and experience to that physician or
     group of physicians, who are involved in the direct
21
22
     care of the patient, and who have the responsibility
      for the direct care of the patient.
23
24
               When you are functioning as a consultant, do
25
     you have occasion to review the medical records,
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
 1
     hospital records of other physicians relating to the
     patient that you're being asked to consult about?
          A. Yes. A record review is generally done.
 3
               Sometimes this involves also seeing the
 4
5
     patient, and sometimes it may not.
 6
          Q. Now, let me begin by asking you some specific
7
     questions about Mary Farnan.
8
               At some point in the past, prior to the time
9
     your deposition was taken by the defense in this case,
10
     we gave you medical records and hospital records on
11
     Mary Farnan; is that correct?
12
          A. That's correct.
13
           Q. Let me hand those to you.
14
               And if at any time during my questioning or
      the questioning of the other counsel, please feel free
15
16
      to, you know, to go through those records.
               MR. WEBB: Do those have an exhibit number on
17
18
      them or --
19
               THE COURT: I thought we -- well, maybe we
20
      hadn't.
21
               MR. ROSENBLATT: I think they do.
22
               MR. WEBB: What is it?
               MR. ROSENBLATT: I think they do. 2.
23
               THE COURT: Is that our number?
24
               MR. WEBB: That's the deposition exhibit
25
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                        38984
     number, I think, Mr. Rosenblatt.
 1
 2
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Oh, yes. No. Exactly.
 3
     It's Exhibit 2 --
4
               THE COURT: Let's come over here for a minute
5
     and we'll talk about this issue.
22
     BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
          Q. Dr. Richmond, tell the members of the jury in
23
      a general way what those hospital records contain, such
24
25
      as operative reports or --
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
 1
              Yes. These records contain both summaries of
     her inpatient care, but also leading up to that care,
 3
      the outpatient diagnostic procedures that she had,
 4
     which were quite extensive.
 5
               And then the pathology reports, the surgical
 6
     reports, and also reports of radiation therapy and
 7
     other kinds of care that she had during the course of
     the several years that she was cared for.
```

9 Q. Do those records cover the time frame from 10 when she had the surgery on her left lung, then the surgery on her right lung, and then the brain surgery? 11 12 A. That's correct. They start earlier than 13 that; prior to the diagnosis of her left upper lung 14 cancer. 15 MR. WEBB: Does that have an exhibit number? MR. ROSENBLATT: We'll worry about that 16 17 afterwards. 18 BY MR. ROSENBLATT: 19 Q. Dr. Richmond, just for the purpose of putting 20 this in sequence, do these dates -- and by the way, in 21 addition to the medical records on Mary Farnan, you've 22 read at least one of her depositions; is that correct? 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. And in that deposition, she described her 25 background, where she was born, her smoking history, TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved her attempts to quit, her illness, things of that nature? A. That's correct. 3 4 Q. Okay. Now, on this chart, very simply, just 5 to put things in sequence, she was born July 25, 1955. She began to smoke at the age of 11 --6 7 A. That's correct. -- in 1966. Then I mentioned that --8 because this starts the sequence of her medical 9 10 problems. 11 In October 1995, she had pain in her left shoulder, which was diagnosed as a rotator cuff 12 13 problem, and surgery was performed. And is that reflected in the records that you have? 14 15 A. The history of that? Q. 16 That background. A. That procedure antedates this record, that 17 18 is, the October procedure. 19 Q. Okay. 20 A. But it was because of that that she had an 21 X-ray in December, I think, of 1995 at the Shands Clinic in Gainesville. 22 Q. And then June 4, 1996, Mrs. Farnan had the 23 surgery for cancer in her left lung? 24 25 A. That's correct. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38989 Q. In the records, you --A. Upper left. 2 3 -- you have that operative record and you Q. 4 have the events leading up to the diagnosis and the 5 surgery? 6 That's correct. Α. 7 Q. And then we go almost a year later to May 8 1997, when Mrs. Farnan had surgery on her right lung 9 for cancer in that lung, and then we go to July 1998, 10 when she had the brain surgery. 11 In terms of the records that you have 12 reviewed, do the records cover this time span, and do 13 you have the records preceding the surgeries and

```
14
      following the surgeries?
15
           A. That's correct.
16
           Q. Okay.
17
           A. That's what's in this record.
           Q. I'll just leave this here, in terms of your
18
19
      testimony, so that if we need to figure out a date.
               Based on your review of Mrs. Farnan's medical
20
      records, her surgical records, radiation oncology,
21
22
      pathology reports, whatever you've reviewed, did
23
      Mrs. Farnan have lung cancer?
24
           A. Yes, she did.
25
               Did she have bronchioalveolar lung cancer?
           Q.
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
              From my review of the pathology reports, I
 1
 2
      would say that she did not.
 3
           Q. What kind of lung cancer did she have, based
 4
      on your review of these records?
 5
           A. She had large cell adenocarcinoma and
 6
      squamous cell carcinoma of the lung.
 7
           Q. Dr. Richmond, in your review of the medical
 8
     records and hospital chart on Mrs. Farnan, and
 9
     you've -- in terms of a hospital record, there's the
10
     various specialties: There's surgery, there's ICU,
11
     radiation oncology, pathology, people -- radiologists
     who review X-rays. Could you find one single instance
12
13
     of any doctor, in any specialty, anywhere in these
14
     records saying that he or she thought that the kind of
15
      lung cancer Mrs. Farnan had was bronchioalveolar lung
16
      cancer?
17
          A. No, I could not.
           Q. Do you have an opinion, Dr. Richmond, based
18
      upon reasonable medical certainty and probability, as
19
20
      to what caused Mrs. Farnan's lung cancer?
21
           A. Yes. My opinion is that her long history,
22
      some 30 years, of cigarette smoking was causally
23
      related to her lung cancer.
24
           Q. Based on your review of Mrs. Farnan's medical
25
      records and hospital records, based upon your review of
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
 1
     her deposition, the fact that she began to smoke at age
 2
      11; became a regular pack-and-a-half-a-day smoker when
 3
      she was about 13 or 14; smoked all her life; later on
 4
      in life she switched to Winston Lights and started
 5
      smoking about three and a half packs a day of Winston
 6
      Lights; tried many times on various occasions to quit
 7
      smoking; was never successful for more than a day;
 8
      smoked through her radiation therapy after she was told
 9
      that she had lung cancer, but following the surgery for
10
      cancer in her left lung, in June of 1996, she quit
11
      smoking.
12
               MR. WEBB: Your Honor, I hate to object, but
13
      I just -- is this a hypothetical or is he summarizing a
      deposition? I object to the form of the question.
14
15
                MR. ROSENBLATT: It's a hypothetical.
16
                THE COURT: I think it's a hypothetical.
17
18
     BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
```

```
19
              And with taking into account the hypothetical
          Ο.
      I've just given you, which I represent to you is based
20
21
      on the evidence that will be in this case, based on
22
     your review of the history contained in Mary Farnan's
     hospital and medical records and reading her
23
24
     deposition, do you have an opinion, based upon
25
     reasonable medical probability, as to whether Mary
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
                                                        38992
      Farnan was addicted to cigarettes, to the nicotine in
 2.
     cigarettes?
               MR. WEBB: Your Honor, I just would object on
 3
 4
      lack of qualifications.
 5
               THE COURT: Overruled.
 6
              In my opinion, she was addicted to nicotine.
7
     BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
8
              Can you tell us when she became addicted, at
9
      what age or at what approximate age she became
10
      addicted?
               MR. WEBB: Objection, unless there's a
11
12
      foundation as to what he's basing his knowledge on.
13
      Object to the form of the question.
               THE COURT: Well, of course, this can be
14
15
     tough.
               Overrule the question -- the objection to
16
17
     that.
     BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
18
19
         Q. You can answer it. Can you tell us at
20
     approximately what age Mary Farnan became addicted to
21
      smoking cigarettes?
22
          A. Well, I think between the age of 11 and 14,
23
      she -- and addiction is usually a gradual process.
24
     Nicotine is no exception. And any -- and with the use
      of any addicting substance, full-fledged addiction is
25
                 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
      attained as to a gradual process. So, over the period
      of 11 to 14, I think she became rather seriously
      addicted, or as I said in the preface to my '79 report,
 3
 4
      powerfully addicted.
 5
               MR. REID: Your Honor, I object.
 6
               THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
7
               Stick with the question.
8
               Do you have a question?
9
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes.
10
     BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
11
          Q. Well, Mrs. Farnan was apparently able to quit
12
      smoking after she had the surgery on her left lung in
      June of 1996 --
13
14
               MR. REID: Your Honor, I object. That's
15
      testimony and leading by counsel.
16
               THE COURT: Well, I think that's part of that
17
     hypothet, wasn't it?
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes.
18
19
      BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
20
          Q. Wouldn't that indicate to you that maybe she
21
      wasn't addicted, if she could quit after the lung
22
      surgery?
23
          A. Well, people who are addicted, after long
```

24 periods of trying, sometimes are able to quit. And, of 25 course, I would add that the matter of lung cancer can TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved be a pretty potent motivator to cause people to want to Now, Dr. Richmond, let me go to Mr. Amodeo. 3 Q. As with Mary Farnan, I sent to you a variety 4 5 of medical records on Mr. Amodeo; is that correct? 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. Let me hand those to you. 8 And in terms of the records that you reviewed 9 concerning Frank Amodeo, did those records include 10 history relating to his smoking history? 11 A. Yes, they do. 12 And did those records reflect the symptoms 13 that Mr. Amodeo was having in his throat and his weight 14 loss and other symptoms, which caused him to see a 15 Dr. Collins in January of 1987? A. Yes. The record indicates that. 16 17 Okay. And do his records reflect the Ο. 18 intensive radiation therapy that he received to his 19 throat area? 20 A. Yes, they do. 21 Q. Do those records provide you, with your background and experience, with the explanation as to 22 23 why Mr. Amodeo is unable to eat or drink in a normal 24 fashion? 25 Yes, they do. Α. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38995 How would you describe the type of cancer 1 that Mr. Amodeo had? Well, the cancer that he had is a cancer that 3 Α. involved the larynx, the epiglottis, what we call the 4 5 hypopharynx, or the throat leading to the esophagus, 6 which carries food to the stomach, and also the base of 7 the tongue. 8 Q. And, Dr. Richmond, I'm going to ask you the 9 same type of question I asked you concerning 10 Mrs. Farnan. 11 Based on your review of Frank Amodeo's 12 medical records, based on your review of his 13 deposition, based on your understanding of Frank Amodeo's smoking history: He was born in 1939; he 14 began smoking at the age of 14; he was able to quit 15 16 smoking for about a year in 1966; and he was 17 essentially a heavy smoker all his life, up until the 18 time his throat cancer was diagnosed, do you have an 19 opinion, based upon reasonable medical probability or 20 certainty, as to what caused Frank Amodeo's throat 21 cancer? 22 Yes, I do. Α. 23 And what is that opinion? Ο. 24 That the long-term smoking that he was Α. 25 engaged in and the intensity of the smoking would TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved indicate to me that cigarette smoking was the factor that produced the development of the cancer in his throat.

- Q. Is there really any doubt whatsoever in your mind on that subject, as to the fact that cigarette smoking caused his throat cancer?
- A. No. And I would regard this as kind of a classic textbook case of how cancer evolves as a consequence of cigarette smoking.
- Q. Now, Mr. Amodeo was engaged in a business for a number of years, in the Orlando area, manufacturing Cypress clocks, working with wood, where he did come in contact with wood dust or saw dust in connection with that work.

Have you ever seen anything in the literature about that kind of exposure causing the kind of throat cancer that Mr. Amodeo had?

A. No, I have not.

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

- Q. And my now having told you about that history of exposure to wood dust or saw dust, does that, in any way, alter your opinion or weaken your opinion concerning your conclusion that cigarette smoking caused his lung cancer?
 - A. No, it does not.
 - Q. You know the ages of Frank Amodeo and Mary TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N

Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 38997

Farnan. Mrs. Farnan is 44; Mr. Amodeo is 60. You know when they started to smoke.

Based on your many years as a pediatrician, based on your years of service in connection with Head Start, your years of service as Surgeon General in the United States, going back to the '50s, '60s, '70s and '80s, are you able to express an opinion as to what normal, typical teenagers, at the time that Mary Farnan and Frank Amodeo were teenagers, were exposed to from the tobacco companies on the issue of whether cigarettes were unhealthy or posed a health risk?

MR. WEBB: Your Honor, I object to the form of the question. Lack of qualifications. Object to the form of the question.

15 THE COURT: Hold on one second. Let me read 16 it here.

MR. REID: Your Honor, we would also object because it's repetitive of Phase I testimony that this witness gave.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Let's talk about this 21 sidebar.

23 BY MR. ROSENBLATT:

Q. Dr. Richmond, based on your review of the
medical and hospital records of Mary Farnan and Frank
TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N

Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved 39003

- 1 Amodeo and their depositions, do you have any reason to
- 2 believe that their upbringing was so unique in any
- 3 respect that they would not have been exposed to what
- 4 the normal teenagers at the time they were teenagers
- 5 were being exposed to, in terms of the media and from

```
6
     the tobacco companies?
7
         A. No. I don't believe that they would have
8
     been insulated from any of that.
9
          Q. Dr. Richmond, yesterday, during the defense
     opening statement, they put up the history -- the
10
11
     history of the warnings that were mandated by Congress,
      the various warnings that have been on packages of
12
13
      cigarettes and the four rotating warnings that started
14
      in 1985.
15
               To your knowledge, has there ever been a
      warning on packages of cigarettes which say: Cigarette
16
17
18
              THE COURT: Hold on a second. We have to go
19
     to sidebar for a second.
18
     BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
19
          Q. Dr. Richmond, do you still to this day have a
20
     relationship to the Harvard Medical School?
21
          A. Yes. I'm professor emeritus at Harvard
22
     Medical School.
          Q. And as --
23
24
          A. And my office is there.
25
          Q. You still have an office there, and you go to
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
     that office on a daily basis?
          A. Daily.
              Dr. Richmond, are you charging anything for
 3
 4
     your time for coming down here and testifying before
5
     this jury?
 6
          A. No. No.
7
               MR. ROSENBLATT: No further questions.
               THE COURT: All right. That gives us a
8
9
     little time earlier than we anticipated for lunch, but
10
     before we get into cross examination, we'll go ahead
      and take our lunch break, rather than break up the
11
12
13
               So it's a quarter of 12:00. Let's get back
14
     here at 1:00 o'clock. Give you an hour and 15 minutes,
15
16
               And the usual admonition: Do not make up
17
     your mind about anything or discuss the case with
18
     anyone.
19
                (The jury was excused from the courtroom.)
20
               THE COURT: One second, please.
               Doctor, you're still on the witness stand
21
22
     under oath. You know the rules. You cannot discuss
     your testimony with anyone, including the lawyers over
23
24
     the lunch break.
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
25
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
 1
               THE COURT: We will be back here at 1:00
     o'clock.
 3
               (Court was adjourned for luncheon recess at
 4
     11:45 a.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
```

```
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
                 TAMiami, JFlorida WH305-358-9047N
     Copyright 1999, Taylor & Jonovic -- All Rights Reserved
```